

This should be of serious interest to law abiding citizens, fathers, mothers, grandparents, families and the nation as a whole. Below are exchanges that took place between ELC and the President of the Family Division Lord Justice Potter.

The President was asked to examine nine cases that we had provided where children have been abused in Public and private law under the noses of the Judiciary and with their blessing. The cases involved both fathers and mothers. We humbly requested that he should call an independent inquiry.

The same request was also made of the three Children's Commissioners, Attorney General, Lord advocate for Scotland, Minister for children, Dept of Children, schools and families (Dfes as it then was), Ministry for Justice (then DCA), and the Lord Chief Justice.

From the exchange we ask you to decide for yourself if the best interests of children are being served by the judges who rarely even have a grasp of the case at hand, and in any event are dependent upon Court reporters, their own approved "experts", and more frighteningly decisions are made by totally unaccountable person even before the hearing takes place under the guise of bench memorandum which whilst the European Courts have ruled they must be disclosed UK Courts refuse as a matter of policy.

The English Children's Commissioner, the DCSF (responsible since 2003 for child welfare) nether found sufficient merit to warrant any response despite requests from The Prime Minister Gordon Brown, John Hemmings MP and others.

In total variance the Welsh Children's Commissioner admitted she is barred by law from investigating any matter either before the Court or that has been before the Court, and has herself asked for her powers to be widened.

It is important to note that Social services when questioned have responded in a manner that does not warrant the office in which they sit, however their response to Adoption is to be in denial of Government targets and put the responsibility upon the Judiciary as ultimate decision maker.

Hence why, until, the Judiciary is accountable and transparent nothing will change, but will get worse. Secret Courts in the past; the Diplock Courts and the Star chambers both failed, these Courts will be no different.

Publishing Judgments or increasing the amount of information from the Courts and satellite agencies is not transparency or accountability since many already know the outcome of a hearing does not resemble the facts of the case, arguments presented or the best interests of the children or even their welfare.

The Governments own enquiry could not understand why the State bodies always took the side of the wrongdoer, and yet under the Royal Courts jurisdiction children are killed, taken away, abused, damaged and prostituted.

Please bear in mind the grander scheme of things to come which involves a health visitor from six weeks of conception to monitor for anti-social behavior until the child is two, happiness lessons at school and happiness tests at nurseries and schools from the age of 4 to 16 plus.

Free contraceptives, abortions and freedom to engage in sexual activity for children without either parental knowledge or consent. Teaching of children to encourage sexual behaviours and brainwashed to believe they can choose their own sexuality, while education is dumbed down to such a level they can hardly think.

Add to this the effect of additives e.g. Aspartame, Monosodium glutamate and fluoride as known brain suppressants and the happy drug Prozac along with Ritalin and derivatives we have a next generation that will not be able to challenge Governmental diktats.

Then there are the two children's databases to monitor the whole of the Nations children with access by bodies which we already know regularly lie/ mislead, withhold evidence, and fail to

check facts. In fact they are virtually untrained and have little or no expertise in many of not most of the areas in which they act.

Already the worsening medical and behavioral outcomes for our children is frightening with a spate of child killings, violence, thuggery and increasing teenage pregnancy, self harming, drug and alcohol abuse, delinquency, anti-social behaviors and sexual activity.

Those working with children such as Scout leaders and teachers cannot touch a child for fear of allegations of sexual abuse and children are brought up in an atmosphere of fear of strangers with little freedom and fill their time with mindless play stations, x-boxes, computer games, and TV. This is not a society which can challenge obesity when free space and playing fields have all but disappeared and parental fears are such that children are kept (when they can be) on a tight rein.

Since Lord Justice Potter has declined to use his admitted power to order an independent inquiry although admits he does have the power to do so as he has exercised it before and happily states that his judges are not biased, partial, unfair, corrupt or ethically compromised, yet despite challenge has to date failed to take action for bring the administration of justice into disrepute, we challenge him openly and provide the covering letter to the submission alone without the actual cases at this stage to help you the Public decide.

Help us to raise funds for a full independent inquiry into the Family Courts with notable independent experts of International renown and help us end this abuse of the Public, our children and the next generation.

Children who started to be looked after during the year ending 31 March 2006 and who were subject to a care order, by category of need^{1,2,3,4}

England	numbers and percentages			
	numbers		percentages	
	Children who started to be looked after for any reason	Children who started to be looked after subject to a care order	Children who started to be looked after for any reason	Children who started to be looked after subject to a care order
All Children²	24,200	4,200	100	100
Abuse or neglect	11,400	3,100	47	74
Disability	790	50	3	1
Parents illness or disability	1,500	190	6	5
Family in acute stress	2,800	260	12	6
Family dysfunction	3,100	470	13	11
Socially unacceptable behaviour	1,300	30	5	1
Low income	60	10	0	0
Absent parenting	3,300	70	14	2

1. Only the first occasion on which a child started to be looked after in the year has been counted.
2. Figures exclude children looked after under an agreed series of short term placements.
3. Figures are taken from the SSDA903 return.
4. For the purpose of preserving confidentiality, figures greater than 1000 have been rounded to the nearest 100 and figures less than 1000 have been rounded to the nearest 10. As a result, totals may differ to the sum of their components.